## 

| Item |  | 0 points |  | 5 points | 10 points |  | 15 points | 20 points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematical Grammar |  | Scratch work is presented as a proof. Or did not present enough of the proof to evaluate (0 points) |  | Several major issues (5 points) | Many minor issues, or a couple major issues. <br> (10 points) |  | Minor issues, but it's still clear what was intended. <br> (15 points) | The proof is well written and typed. <br> (20 points) |
| Logical Flow |  | Explicitly o assumed th <br> Or did not were mult the proof. Or did not enough of evaluate (0 points) |  | portant ment, or many ments do not from previous ments, or the sed proof sted of the cor ments present wrong direction nts) | Some st follow fra stateme Or the r one stat next is n in $(10$ poin | pents do not Every <br> follow <br> previous <br> statem  <br> oning from reader <br> clear. some <br>  himse <br> ( 15 po  <br> (incorrect  <br> the next  | correct statement from previous ments, but the has to fill in minor details f. <br> ints) <br> t statements fall under category) | Every correct statement follows from previous statements in a clear manner. <br> (20 points) <br> (incorrect statements fall under the next category) |
| Correctness of the idea | Failed to anything r the conclu attempted the wrong (0 points) (The structure does not appl logical flow) | tate <br> elevant to sion, or to prove result. <br> of the proof ; that is in the | Some claims are false or unjustified and the writer did not appear to understand why the conclusion is true. (20 points) | Part of the proof was missing, but the part that was there was correct. (30 points) | The main point was missed due to an incorrect logical flow. (35 points) | Some claims are false or unjustified, but the writer appeared to have an understanding of why the conclusion is true. <br> (50 points) | Some claims are false or unjustified, but ended up being irrelevant to the proof. Or there was an obvious typo that was meaningful and incorrect. (55 points) | Every claim is mathematically valid, the final claim results in the theorem, and the logical flow was at the 15 or 20 point level. <br> (60 points) |

$40 / 100$ - The proof is completely off track, but shows something of merit.
$20 / 100$ - The proof is completely off track, but communicates clearly what the author is doing.
Comments are color coded:
Green - things that do not make sense
Blue - things that are incorrect
Orange - things that are mathematically true, but do not follow from what you've said.
Red - all other comments.

